

SECRET

Journal

Office of Legislative Counsel

Tuesday - 14 June 1955

25X1

2. The DCI and DD/S met this morning with Gov. Adams, Dr. Flemming, Col. Goodpaster, Gen. Bragdon, and Donald Belcher (Assistant Director of the Bureau of the Budget), during which meeting the Government's position was reaffirmed that CIA was to receive an exception to Government dispersal standards, and could therefore locate its new headquarters in or near the District of Columbia. Mr. Belcher then produced a draft of a proposed revision of Title IV of S. 1765 and H. R. 5700 which would authorize an additional \$8,500,000 to CIA for transfer to the Department of the Interior for the construction of an extension to the George Washington Parkway if CIA were to locate at the Bureau of Public Roads Research Station at Langley, Virginia. With slight revisions, I cleared this language with Roger Jones, Assistant Director of the Bureau of the Budget.

SECRET

SECRET

3. In connection with the possibility that we might finance the construction of the new CIA building with FY 1953 or 1954 funds, Mr. Houston has secured language from Lyle Fisher, General Counsel of the General Accounting Office, which would be acceptable to them to accomplish this purpose. However, the Bureau of the Budget will review this situation carefully to determine whether such funds should be utilized or new monies employed. (On 15 June Mr. Hamilton, of the Bureau of the Budget, pointed out their concern in this regard on the basis that to utilize the old funds might upset the secure pipeline of transmittal of CIA funds into the Treasury).

4. Col. White and I talked with Mr. Darden regarding the insertion of a revised Title IV in S. 1765 and explained the necessity for the revision if CIA were to utilize the Langley property. Mr. Darden said he would handle this with the Committee and that he thought there would be no need for discussing it individually with any of the Senators. However, he did feel that a letter might be in order to the Chairman explaining the reason for the insertion and also the fact that the Administration had reaffirmed its position on the necessity for CIA to be located near the White House and other Government agencies.

5. Col. White also delivered to Mr. Smart, Chief Clerk of the House Armed Services Committee, a copy of the proposed revision to Title IV of H. R. 5700, and explained to him the reaffirmation of the Administration's position. Mr. Smart stated that the House Committee had favorably reported out H. R. 5700 that morning and that therefore it would not be advisable to seek to amend the bill on the House Floor. He recommended that we insert the revised language in the Senate bill which had not yet been reported out and then seek to have it accepted in Conference. He also suggested that we furnish Chairman Vinson with a letter calling his attention to these facts.

6. Col. White and I talked with Cong. Mahon, who is Chairman of the Appropriations Subcommittee which will handle the appropriation for the CIA building. Chairman Mahon appeared sympathetic to the need for a building, but was somewhat disappointed that Chairman Vinson had not built a published record in this connection. We assured him that the Senate hearings were to be published and were quite full. He felt that

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

there was no major security problem involved and that his full Military Appropriations Subcommittee should hear the testimony, to which we agreed. Mr. Mahon did not appear overly sympathetic to the use of prior year funds for financing the building, and seemed to have a preference for a new appropriation. Mr. Mahon said that hearings would commence on the Military Construction Appropriations bill on 20 June, but that we might not be reached until the week of the 27th.

7. I talked with Mr. Fisher, Register of Copyrights, in the Library of Congress, regarding H. R. 6716, a bill which would amend the copyright laws to authorize suits for infringement against the U.S. Government rather than its individual employees. This would place copyright infringement suits on the same basis as patent suits and would overcome a long standing court decision on this matter. I recalled to the Register that we had discussed this matter some years ago, at which time CIA was considering the possibility of legislation of its own in this connection because of the necessity of using copyrighted material in psychological warfare items, the source of which often could not be revealed to the copyright owners for security reasons. Mr. Fisher said that he felt that there was not much chance of H. R. 6716 moving during the current session but that it had been introduced to elicit comments with the hope of action in the next session. I stated that I would consider the possibility of writing the Bureau of the Budget urging some Administration support for this bill.

~~SECRET~~